Certificate of Analysis

STANDARD REFERENCE MATERIALS 1140, 1141, 1142

Ductile Iron Standards

SRM No.	1140	1141	1142
	Ductile Iron 1	Ductile Iron 2	Ductile Iron 3
Element		Percent	
Carbon Manganese Phosphorous Sulfur	$\begin{array}{c} 3.18 \\ 0.72_5 \\ .007_0 \\ .010 \end{array}$	3.64 0.48_0 $.073$ $.020$	$2.94 \\ 0.18_{3} \\ .21_{0} \\ .015$
Silicon Copper Nickel Chromium	1.92 0.096 .028 .030	$egin{array}{c} 1.11 \ 0.20_4 \ .54_8 \ .13_8 \ \end{array}$	3.33 1.02 1.63 $.05_{5}$
Vanadium	.030	.009	.006
Molybdenum	.09 ₅	.051	.023
Titanium	.09 ₆	.013	.008
Aluminum	(.01)	(.005)	.089
Arsenic	.07 ₂	.03 ₆	.01 ₀
Magnesium	.019	.044	.09 ₇
Cerium	(.09)	(.05)	(.015)
Yttrium	(<.002)	.04 ₀	.01
Lead	$.005_2$ $.001_5$	(.0009)	(.0005)
Bismuth		(.00008)	(.00002)

^aValues in parenthesis are not certified but are provided for additional information on the composition.

SIZE AND METALLURGICAL CONDITION: Samples are approximately 1 1/4 inches (3.2 cm) square and 1/2 inch (1.3 cm) thick; they were chill-cast by a rapid unidirectional solidification technique.

CERTIFIED PORTION: The certified portion for each sample is that extending upward 5/16 inch (0.8 cm) from the chill-cast or test surface (the largest surface opposite the numbered surface). This portion only was analyzed in the cooperative program for certification.

FINAL CERTIFICATION: The value listed for an element is the best estimate of the true value based on the results of the cooperative analytical program. The value listed is not expected to deviate from the true value by more than ± 1 in the last significant figure reported; for a subscript figure, the deviation is not expected to be more than ± 5 in the subscript figure. Based on the results of homogeneity testing, maximum variations within and among samples are estimated less than the accuracy figures given above.

Washington, D. C. 20234 February 24, 1970 J. Paul Cali, Acting Chief Office of Standard Reference Materials PLANNING, PREPARATION, TESTING, ANALYSIS: The three ductile iron standards are made available as a result of the cooperative program between the National Bureau of Standards and the American Cast Iron Pipe Company. The standards were developed at the request of the Ductile Iron Society and the American Foundrymen's Society.

The material for the standards was melted and cast at the American Cast Iron Pipe Company, Birmingham, Alabama, with use of the NBS chill-cast mold assembly. The preparation and homogeneity testing was similar to that described in NBS Misc. Publ. 260-1, Standard Reference Materials: Preparation of NBS White Cast Iron Spectrochemical Standards, Robert E. Michaelis and LeRoy L. Wyman, June 19, 1964.

Homogeneity testing was performed at NBS by D. M. Bouchette and was found to be satisfactory for the elements certified.

Cooperative analyses for certification were performed at the American Cast Iron Pipe Company, Birmingham, Alabama by I. Glaze, J. B. Hobby, W. R. Kennedy, and R. N. Smith.

Analyses for final certification at the National Bureau of Standards were performed in the laboratories of the Analytical Chemistry Division by J. R. Baldwin, D. M. Bouchette, M. M. Darr, E. L. Garner, P. D. LaFleur, G. J. Lutz, E. J. Maienthal, M. Margoshes, L. I. McClendon, T. J. Murphy, T. C. Rains, S. D. Rasberry, T. A. Rush, B. A. Thompson, and J. L. Weber, Jr.

Technical measurements performed at NBS for final certification were coordinated by J. I. Shultz and J. L. Weber, Jr. under the chairmanship of B. F. Scribner.

The technical and support aspects involved in the preparation, certification, and issuance of these Standard Reference Materials were coordinated through the Office of Standard Reference Materials by R. E. Michaelis.

CAUTIONS:

- 1. Determinations made on other than the chill-cast or test surface are not recommended because of the unidirectional solidification structure.
- 2. These chill-cast standards are designed for calibration in the analysis of samples prepared in the same manner; samples prepared by other casting techniques or having other than a white structure may result in considerable bias.
- 3. Because the samples exhibit a change with respect to the columnar structure, both among standards and from bottom to top of the certified portion of the samples, the surface preparation for x-ray spectroscopic analysis may be critical. (A metallographic polishing technique is recommended).
- 4. Because of the poor heat conductivity of the ductile irons, difference in volatility rates for certain elements in emission spectroscopic analysis may occur depending on the location of the burn and the source parameters.